Course report, victimology (KA714E), 7.5 Hp

Respondents: 40
Answer Frequency: 67.50%

Learning outcomes and examination: The students perceive that the course's learning outcomes been reached to a high extent (m=5.3) and that the work formats course helped them reach the learning outcomes (m=5.1). The students where furthermore positive to the seminars (m=5.0), within the discussion format (m=5.1) and with the written assignment (m=5.6) which they found to be highly relevant in relation to the learning outcomes of the course (m=5.4).

The course as a whole: The student perceived that the course was research-based to a warry high extent (m=5.1) and that their own knowledge and experiences been utilized (m=4.8), that there has been an opportunity for the students to influence the course (m=5.1) and that they have gotten feedback from the course responsible to a high extent (m=5.3). Eighty percent of the students put in 21 hours or more during the course (approximately 33 percent put in 31-40 hours each week). It should be noted that this is somewhat lower than last year’s students where approximately 45 percent put in 31-40 hours each week. As a final note, the students where warry positive to the course as a whole (m=5.5).

Other thoughts from the students
The following is open answers from the students:

“Its been very interesting and more focus on victims, but materials need to be arranged based on each theme for the seminar, so you have a focus on what you are discussing”.
“Simon is a great teacher and researcher. Keep up the good work!”
“Really appreciated everything about this excellent course. It was very well done and Simon is an inspiring teacher!”
“I appreciate the fact that lectures, seminars were conducted in a highly interactive way, and the lectures were very dynamic, comprehensive and interesting. Thanks for that!”
“The course has been very interesting. Implementations should be in the literature with extra materials”.
“During the last assignment, we had to cover a lot in very few pages! It could maybe be easier to cover everything and get more in-depth with our subject (as it required) if we got more space to write it out on”.
“Great course, Simon is an excellent teacher”.
“This course was the best course so far in Criminology department in terms of what we gained from lectures and for me by the relevant research field-victimology (even if I thought that I wouldn’t be particularly interested in this subject). Simon is a great teacher! Tack”
“I have read a lot of literature myself that was not on the course literature list, it was also a short list so I think it can be adjusted and expanded to cover more on the field of victimology”.
“Very good course! I had high expectations on the course being interesting, and it delivered. My interest in the victimology field has increased due to the course”.
“A very good and interesting course! I take with me some interesting discussions and ne knowledge about the victim perspective”.

Analysis and reflection
Overall, the students were very positive about the course. The students are positive about the structure and structure of the course. Above all, the students seem to be positive about the dynamic approach that exists during seminars and lectures. There are potential possibilities for improvements regarding the literature list. Some students believe that the literature list should be extended. I agree that the literature list can be developed and include more crime types, crime victims, victim groups, different aspects of victimology (such as how victimology aspects may influence integration and trust), etc. In the next course, the literature list will be reviewed. In summary, based on discussions with students, the teacher's own experience of the course and this current evaluation, there is, at present, no great need to revise the course structure.